UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

Bushes County County

· TOTAL PROPERTY CONTRACTOR

2008 JUN 11 PM 3: 58

TO:	OM: R. Mullin,	CLERK US DISTRICT COURT Berndezhern DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE DEPUTY DEPUTY
CASE NO.: 08cv0016 BEN (LSP) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Petitioner		
CASE TITLE: Camacho v. Hernandez		
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: <u>De Novo Objection to Report & Recommendation</u>		
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:		
1	Local Rule	Discrepancy
	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
$\bar{\Box}$	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
$\overline{\Box}$	5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
$\bar{\Box}$	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
	7.1	Missing table of contents
	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
		Supplemental documents require court order
		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
X		OTHER: Not in pleading format.
j	IS HEREBY ORDE	Date forwarded: <u>5/30/2008</u> ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE RED:
V	The document is	to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
copy of this order on all parties.		•
	Rejected docume	nt to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers
	Counsel is advise to Local Rule 83.	d that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant CHAMBERS OF: Judge Benitez By: Auc
	Dated: Q6/16 cc: All Parties	ву: <u>ачс</u>